

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,670	09/07/2006	Nicolaas Bernardus Roozen	PHNL040267US	8695
38107 PHILIPS INTE	7590 12/05/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
595 MINER R	OAD	ARANA, LOUIS M		
CLEVELAND, OH 44143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS FEES		10/598,670	ROOZEN ET AL	ROOZEN ET AL.			
			Art Unit				
			3745				
forth in three multipl	cess claim(s) filed on 26 November, 2007 is not a 37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f). Excess claim (§ 1.16(h)), each claim (whether dependent or i e dependent claims are considered for fee calculate dependent claim (§ 1.16(j)).	ns fees are required for independent) in excess	each claim in independent for of twenty (note that § 1.75(c)	orm in excess of by indicates how			
(30) Da \$ 210.0 ABAN	the application is not under a final rejection, appliance AYS from the mailing date of this notice, whicher 0, or (2) an amendment in compliance with 37 C DONMENT. Extensions of this time period may ed in a preliminary amendment.	ever is longer, to subming the subming the subming the subming the subminus for the subminus to the subminus the subminus for	t either: (1) the fee payment the excess claim(s), in order	of to avoid			
<u> </u>	The funds in Deposit Account No. are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.						
2.	2. The Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to Account (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.						
⊠ 3.	3. The amendment that includes the excess claim(s) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record (PTO/SB/06). Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set forth in this notice.						
☐ 4.	4. The fee submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due for presentation of excess claims (37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f)).						
<u></u>	Other.						
Explanation (Provide specific details of the required correction in order to assist the applicant. Indicate whether a service charge has been added to the fee due):							
1.16, 1.: <b>WITH</b> IS SUB	MOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CH 21 & 1.492). THE <b>AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUI THE APPROPRIATE FEE(S) IS RECEIVED BY</b> JECT TO CHANGE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THA' ABLE ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: <i>http://ww</i>	E <b>IS DETERMINED A</b> T <b>HE OFFICE</b> (37 CFR T APPLICANT CHECK	S OF THE DATE A COMPLI ( 1.8 & 1.10). BECAUSE THE THE CURRENT FEE SCHED	ETE REPLY AMOUNT DUE			
charged deposit	Charges: There is a \$50 service charge for processin back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). The account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 Chical Support Staff (TSS): Kimberly Cooper	ere is a \$25.00 service ch	large for each month when the t	paid") or palance of a			

Note to TSS: Please do NOT use this notice if the application is under a final rejection.

Part of Paper No. 20071130-2

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-319 (Rev 5-05)